

Solving of Hacker Challenge 2007 Phase 3

Author: Omega Red (omegared@o2.pl)

Attack Narrative

Finding the password and the formula

When we first run the executable, it produces truncated output. A password is required, but we're not told as in what form it should be delivered to the executable. To find out, author used *Process Monitor* from Sysinternals.

```
69269 21:57:48.8726820 final.exe 2284 CreateFile C:\hc3\data.txt SUCCESS
        Desired Access: Generic Read, Disposition: Open, Options: Synchronous IO Non-Alert,
Non-Directory File, Attributes: N, ShareMode: Read, Write, AllocationSize: n/a,
OpenResult: Opened
69270 21:57:48.8727534 final.exe 2284 ReadFile C:\hc3\data.txt SUCCESS
        Offset: 0, Length: 152
69272 21:57:48.8728270 final.exe 2284 CloseFile C:\hc3\data.txt SUCCESS
```

It seems that our target only reads **data.txt**, it doesn't touch other files or registry or anything else. That would imply that the password should be in data.txt. But in what form should it be? That's the first real problem.

Let's load the exe to IDA. Surprise, it doesn't look like packed/encrypted at first glance. The **main** function is larger and more complex than in phase 1 though. We see `IsDebuggerPresent` calls, `GetTickCount`, `QueryPerformanceCounter` – obvious anti-debug measures. And then in the middle of **main** there is a block of garbage data starting at `00402D54`. Oh, encryption after all ;). Time to load it into Olly.

We place breakpoint at the transition to encrypted code:

```
.text:00402D4D jmp short loc_402D54
```

and trace **main** stepping over all functions. We notice that after `call 00401C20` there is some kind of checksumming performed:

```
00402C46 . 0FB7C8 movzx ecx,ax
00402C49 . 81F9 80040000 cmp ecx,480
00402C4F . 74 07 je short final_or.00402C58
00402C51 . 6A 00 push 0
00402C53 . E8 81AC0000 call <final_or.EXIT>
00402C58 > EB 05 jmp short final_or.00402C5F
```

The checksum is wrong and program exits. Let's see what's inside the call. Calls to `VirtualProtect` and some number crunching? Decryption routine. It also calls some other functions at the beginning. Since it's important procedure, we examine these functions. First of them, at `00401D80`, is a nice anti-debug trick involving `int 2d` – the windows kernel debugger API as described by ReWolf. This function creates SEH frame and issues `int 2d`. In normal conditions, `int` command will cause exception and transition to the SEH handler, which in turn changes faulting thread's context – it moves value `0` to `ebx` register. We see a "`mov ebx, 1`" instruction just before `int 2d` – so under debugger, when no exception is called, `ebx` will contain `1` at the end of

the procedure. This is used to alter some variables used during calculations, corrupting results in the process. How to defeat it? Simply change “mov ebx, 1” to “mov ebx, 0” at 401dc5 and nop out the `int 2d`. Voila, the function now always returns 0 (“not debugged”).

Second sub-function called in decryption routine is very similar anti-debug routine, just it uses trap flag to trigger exception:

```
00401BB5 |. B8 01000000          mov eax,1
00401BBA |. 9C                  pushfd
00401BBB |. 813424 54010000     xor dword ptr ss:[esp],154
00401BC2 |. 9D                  popfd
00401BC3 |. 0AC0                or al,al
```

SEH handler installed at the beginning of this function also changes context, this time modifying `eax` to be 0. As we see, the scheme is almost identical as before. We patch it in the same manner: changing `mov eax, 1` to `mov eax, 0`. Good, both of them should be neutralized.

Now we observe the `VirtualProtect` call and its arguments – it will tell us what region of code is being decrypted. There are 3 decrypt calls in total. First one decrypts code @ `402d50` of size `0xDC` bytes. That’s right where our “garbage bytes” are. Now after we “fixed” anti-debug routines, checksum after decryption is OK. Good. Next we see another checksum calculations @ around `402c98`. For now it returns good value, but we note it in case we need to patch it. Next we have `IsDebuggerPresent` call easily fooled by `OllyAdvanced`. After it there is a second call to decryption routine (address `402ef0`, size `0xE0`). This area is located a bit further from first encrypted block. Quick glance at it after decryption doesn’t give any immediate clues on what it does, but its role will be apparent later.

Next we have `GetTickCount` trick and third, final decryption call (address `401ef0`, size `0xF0`). Look at the decrypted instructions – oh, some calculations with floating point math and a call to trap-flag anti-debug! Suspicious, maybe this is the function that calculates our needed value? We’ll verify it later.

Now we’ll dump all decrypted memory sections and patch the executable to make static analysis easier. We’ll also nop out the “`mov [esi], edx`” instruction at `00401c73`, this will disable decryptor (since code will be already decrypted by us). Quick test after patching: program outputs wrong results. We recall noticing a checksum calculation at `402c98` – now we can see that it returns wrong value ;). We patch jump at `402c9e` to unconditional. Result? Wrong values again, but this time different ones. Ouch.

Let’s just manually scan **main** disassembly in IDA and look for suspicious instruction blocks. With this approach we can find what follows:

```
.text:004034D6          xor     eax, eax
.text:004034D8          mov     ecx, (offset loc_402C5A+2)
.text:004034DD          mov     edx, 2Ah
.text:004034E2
.text:004034E2  loc_4034E2:                                     ; CODE
XREF: .text:004034ED□j
.text:004034E2          movzx  esi, word ptr [ecx]
.text:004034E5          add     eax, esi
.text:004034E7          add     ecx, 2
.text:004034EA          sub     edx, 1
.text:004034ED          jnz    short loc_4034E2
```

```

.text:004034EF          mov     ecx, eax
.text:004034F1          shr     ecx, 10h
.text:004034F4          jz      short loc_403502
.text:004034F6          loc_4034F6:                                     ; CODE
XREF: .text:00403500□j
.text:004034F6          movzx  eax, ax
.text:004034F9          add     eax, ecx
.text:004034FB          mov     ecx, eax
.text:004034FD          shr     ecx, 10h
.text:00403500          jnz     short loc_4034F6
.text:00403502          loc_403502:                                     ; CODE
XREF: .text:004034F4□j
.text:00403502          not     eax
.text:00403504          movzx  eax, ax
.text:00403507          movzx  ecx, ax
.text:0040350A          test   ecx, ecx
.text:0040350C          jz      short loc_403515
.text:0040350E          add     dword_42DD50, 1
.text:00403515          loc_403515:                                     ; CODE
XREF: .text:0040350C□j

```

A checksum routine similar to what we've seen before. If it fails, a global variable is modified. Let's patch jump at **0040350c** to unconditional. Finally! The executable now produces correct output. Let's continue the analysis of **main**.

After that there is a call pair of `QueryPerformanceFrequency / QueryPerformanceCounter`. Another timing trick? Doh. This time `OllyAdvanced` won't help us, so we'll need to be careful when debugging.

Finally we reach the first decrypted code block. What does it do? Let's trace and see. ;) At the beginning of it there are 2 calls to some private functions. First one takes 2 arguments, apparently pointers to stack variables. After comparing their (variables) content before and after call, we see that the one pointed by `eax` contains ASCII "1" after call. Hmpf. Let's move on. There is another call with more parameters – constants "0a" (newline?), `0x3e8`, and another two stack pointers. And after this call we see that "eax variable" contains more ASCII numbers. Now it's clear that the first call was reading first "word" from **data.txt** (number 1 in case of original file), and the second – rest of the line. Good. What do we have next? Some number crunching that operates on the first read buffer! It reads **12 characters** from it in 4 turns of 3 bytes, produces 4 byte result and compares it with "4242" string. Operations are fairly trivial, they can be reduced to sum of 3 chars modulo `0x100` (if byte values don't exceed `0x7f`) for every byte of output. Sample string that meets those requirements is "**omega~redGlf**". This must be the password we're looking for ;). Indeed, when we insert such string as first line of `data.txt`, executable produces full and correct output.

After password check we see second call to `QueryPerformanceCounter`. Then some math that seems to calculate number of seconds elapsed between first call and this one. This number is then compared to 0.1 – if it's less, all is OK and we're not traced ;). We can just flip the flag on comparison during debugging, as it doesn't matter when run without debugger.

```

00402E70 . DC1D 00854200          fcomp qword ptr ds:[428500]
00402E76 . DFE0                  fstsw ax
00402E78 . F6C4 05              test ah,5

```

```
00402E7B . 7B 45 jpo short final_or.00402EC2
```

Manual tracing further gives us headache, there is too much code to swallow. Let's concentrate on the missing formula. Since we suspected that decrypted block at **401ef0** might be what we're looking for, let's place a breakpoint there and see what happens. Because we have all code statically decrypted this should present no problem. Executable breaks there without problems, but on the console we can see bogus output. Hmm... there must be more antidebugs somewhere else, but hopefully we will be able to trace this function at least.

It starts with call to familiar "trap flag" anti-debug function. Then a bit of integer number crunching. Some floating point operations and... voila, we have our magic number on top of FP stack.

```
00401EF0 . 55 push ebp
00401EF1 . 8BEC mov ebp,esp
00401EF3 . 83EC 0C sub esp,0C
00401EF6 . 56 push esi
00401EF7 . 8BF1 mov esi,ecx
00401EF9 . EB 05 jmp short final_or.00401F00
00401EFB . B8 00FFFFFF mov eax,-100
00401F00 > 33C0 xor eax,eax
00401F02 . 8945 F4 mov dword ptr ss:[ebp-C],eax
00401F05 . 8945 F8 mov dword ptr ss:[ebp-8],eax
00401F08 . E8 63FCFFFF call <final_or.anti_trap>
00401F0D . 85C0 test eax,eax
00401F0F . 74 07 je short final_or.00401F18
00401F11 . 6A FF push -1
00401F13 . E8 C1B90000 call <final_or.EXIT>
00401F18 > 8B8E D0000000 mov ecx,dword ptr ds:[esi+D0]
00401F1E . 2B8E C4000000 sub ecx,dword ptr ds:[esi+C4]
00401F24 . 8B86 C0000000 mov eax,dword ptr ds:[esi+C0]
00401F2A . 038E B8000000 add ecx,dword ptr ds:[esi+B8]
00401F30 . 8D1440 lea edx,dword ptr ds:[eax+eax*2]
00401F33 . 8D044A lea eax,dword ptr ds:[edx+ecx*2]
00401F36 . 2B86 CC000000 sub eax,dword ptr ds:[esi+CC]
00401F3C . 8B4E 34 mov ecx,dword ptr ds:[esi+34]
00401F3F . 2B86 BC000000 sub eax,dword ptr ds:[esi+BC]
00401F45 . 0386 C8000000 add eax,dword ptr ds:[esi+C8]
00401F4B . 83F9 01 cmp ecx,1
00401F4E . 8945 FC mov dword ptr ss:[ebp-4],eax
00401F51 . 75 2D jnz short final_or.00401F80
00401F53 . DB45 FC fild dword ptr ss:[ebp-4]
00401F56 . 8BC8 mov ecx,eax
00401F58 . 0FAFC8 imul ecx,eax
00401F5B . DC0D C0844200 fmul qword ptr ds:[4284C0]
00401F61 . DC2D B8844200 fsubr qword ptr ds:[4284B8]
00401F67 . 894D FC mov dword ptr ss:[ebp-4],ecx
00401F6A . DB45 FC fild dword ptr ss:[ebp-4]
00401F6D . DC0D B0844200 fmul qword ptr ds:[4284B0]
00401F73 . DEC1 faddp st(1),st
00401F75 . DB46 30 fild dword ptr ds:[esi+30]
00401F78 . DC0D A8844200 fmul qword ptr ds:[4284A8]
00401F7E . EB 30 jmp short final_or.00401FB0
00401F80 > 83F9 02 cmp ecx,2
00401F83 . 75 30 jnz short final_or.00401FB5
00401F85 . DB45 FC fild dword ptr ss:[ebp-4]
00401F88 . 8BD0 mov edx,eax
00401F8A . 0FAFD0 imul edx,eax
00401F8D . DC0D A0844200 fmul qword ptr ds:[4284A0]
00401F93 . DC2D 98844200 fsubr qword ptr ds:[428498]
```

```

00401F99 . 8955 FC      mov dword ptr ss:[ebp-4],edx
00401F9C . DB45 FC      fild dword ptr ss:[ebp-4]
00401F9F . DC0D 90844200 fmul qword ptr ds:[428490]
00401FA5 . DEC1        faddp st(1),st
00401FA7 . DB46 30      fild dword ptr ds:[esi+30]
00401FAA . DC0D 88844200 fmul qword ptr ds:[428488]
00401FB0 > DEE9        fsubp st(1),st
00401FB2 . DD5D F4      fstp qword ptr ss:[ebp-C]
00401FB5 > DB05 B8EB4200 fild dword ptr ds:[42EBB8]
00401FBB . 8BCE        mov ecx,esi
00401FBD . DC75 F4      fdiv qword ptr ss:[ebp-C]
00401FC0 . DC05 B0EB4200 fadd qword ptr ds:[42EBB0]
00401FC6 . DC25 40844200 fsub qword ptr ds:[428440]
00401FCC . DD9E 98000000 fstp qword ptr ds:[esi+98]
00401FD2 . E8 49FEFFFF  call final_or.00401E20
00401FD7 . 5E          pop esi
00401FD8 . 8BE5        mov esp,ebp
00401FDA . 5D          pop ebp
00401FDB . C3          retn

```

This can be translated to:

```

double formula(void)
{
    double v2 = 0;
    int v1 = 3*d3 + 2*(d1 - d2 + d4) - d5 - d7 + d8;

    if (d6 == 1) // true in this case
        v2 = g2 - v1 * g1 + v1*v1 * g3 - d9 * g4;
    else
    {
        if (d6 == 2)
            v2 = g9 - v1 * g8 + v1*v1 * g10 - d9 * g11;
    }

    return g5 / v2 + g6 - g7;
}

// these are object data
d1 = 5;
d2 = 4;
d3 = 8;
d4 = 10;
d5 = 10;
d6 = 1;
d7 = 17;
d8 = 6;
d9 = 35;

// these are global variables
g1 = 0.00045719;
g2 = 1.21721;
g3 = 6.7e-07;
g4 = 0.00025696;
g5 = 510;
g6 = 0;
g7 = 485;

// and two local variables
v1 = 25;

```

```
v2 = 1.1972054;
```

```
x = 510 / 1.1972054 - 485; // -59.007935480411297844129336536571;
```

Removing the input limit

Now we need to remove input limitation. Using some Zen thinking ;) we can come to conclusion, that all encrypted code blocks are vital to the task: password protection, the formula... and, input limit? :) This is indeed true, but author initially took another approach. All global data of application was visually scanned in IDA in search of 200.0 constant that would be used in a comparison. Patched executable with all code decrypted was used. "data.txt" string was used to find rough position of private data. Although "200.0" was not found, another constant was:

```
.rdata:004284E8 db1_4284E8          dq 1.9999999e2          ; DATA XREF: _main+50A□r
```

Seems very interesting. Let's examine that cross reference:

```
.text:00402F6A          fld          ds:dbl_4284E8
.text:00402F70          add          esp, 4
.text:00402F73          fcomp       [ebp+78h+var_30]
.text:00402F76          fnstsw     ax
.text:00402F78          test        ah, 5
.text:00402F7B          jp          short loc_402F8B
.text:00402F7D          mov         dword ptr [ebp+78h+var_30], 0EB074A77h
.text:00402F84          mov         dword ptr [ebp+78h+var_30+4], 4068FFFFh
.text:00402F8B          loc_402F8B:          ; CODE XREF: _main+51B□j
```

Magic constants being loaded to a stack variable are 64bit double representation of **199.99999**. Do we need more? ;) We patch jump at **402F7B** to unconditional. This results in input limitation being removed.

Additional notes.

There is a third anti-debug function using `int 2d`, but it was left alone. Author also found a few tricks that apparently targeted "cheating" OllyDbg plugins:

- Writing some large value to `BeingDebugged` PEB flag and reading it again later
- Using `Sleep()` to verify if `GetTickCount` returns reasonable values.

Also, initially author used WinDbg in kernel mode on a vmware target to catch all `int2d/ trap` flag tricks.

Time to break

In total, about a day was required to achieve all objectives. Finding the password was most time-consuming initially, it took one evening (about 4-6 hours). After it has been understood how to enter the password, things got easier. Not all anti-debug protections were found though. Password algorithm was trivial, computing valid password took few minutes. Decrypting executable was easy, maybe half an hour for static patch. Decoding formula took about an hour or two, to not make any mistakes. Finding and patching the input limitation after decryption has been done was also very easy, it took 30 minutes at most. Most of the time was spent on finding "silent" anti-debug checks that corrupted data but didn't kill the program. There was too few of such checks without debugger though.